



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.sspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/390,264	09/03/1999	NAOKI FUЛІ	P/16-230	6631
7	590 07/31/2003			
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN LLP			EXAMINER WHIPKEY, JASON T	
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	$\overline{}$
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/31/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

	T 6 12 22				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/390,264	FUJII, NAOKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason T. Whipkey	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended per	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, incation. dosy, a reply within the statutory minimum tory period will apply and will expire SIX (il, by statute, cause the application to become the statute of the statute.	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>20 June 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-10 and 18-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,11,12 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requiremer	nt.			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the £	Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 1</u>	999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b	)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any object					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed of	· ·				
If approved, corrected drawings are requ					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National Stage (a)).			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for					
a) The translation of the foreign langu					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ol>	)-948) 5) ☐ Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10			

Art Unit: 2612

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 7-10 and 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

## **Drawings**

- Corrected drawings were received on December 19, 1999. These drawings are approved.
- 73. Figures 9-12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

Art Unit: 2612

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

- ✓ 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses the "legal phraseology" term "driving control means" on the next-to-last line. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakabayashi (U.S. Patent No. 5,570,149) in view of Numako (U.S. Patent No. 4,962,399).

Wakabayashi discloses a camera with a collapsible optical system. Figures 1 and 2 show an optical system 10 located in lens barrel 1 (column 7, lines 8-9). A first

Art Unit: 2612

lens group 11 is fixed in tube 15 ("a lens frame"), while a second lens group 12 is fixed in lens compartment 14 ("a lens frame") (column 7, lines 11-16). Figure 1 shows optical system 10 in a fully collapsed state ("a position of stowage"), and Figure 2 shows optical system 10 in a photographic state ("a ready-to-image position") (column 7, lines 4-7). The lenses are movable along the optical axis in order to perform varying degrees of zooming ("a zoom interval") (column 7, lines 50-54).

Drive gear 30, shown in Figure 3, moves lens barrel 1 into and out of the collapsible state (column 8, lines 64-65) by drive motor 52 ("a stepping motor"), which is shown in Figure 6 (column 13, lines 1-2).

As described in column 8, line 64, through column 9, line 65, drive gear 30 causes the mechanics associated with zoom cam tube 23 to extend from the position shown in Figure 3 to the position shown in Figure 4 without tube 23 rotating ("a first driving mode"). When zoom cam tube 23 rotates from the position shown in Figure 5, zooming is effected ("a second driving mode"). Lens barrel 1 is moved back into the fully collapsed state by reversing the cited procedure (column 9, line 6, through column 10, line 6).

Wakabayashi does not specifically disclose a control means for controlling the optical system. However, it is inherent that the system has some sort of control system in order to be functional and have utility.

Wakabayashi is silent with regard to the amount of current used to operate the drive motor to expand and retract the lens barrel.

Art Unit: 2612

Numako discloses a zoom lens driving apparatus similar to the system described by Wakabayashi. The apparatus, as shown in Figure 1, drives a retractable zoom lens (column 3, lines 23-28). The lens moves at a high speed when moving from a retracted position to an operative position but moves at a slower speed when variable zooming is performed (column 1, lines 37-52). It is inherent that the rotation speed of a motor is controlled by the amount of current applied.

As stated in column 19, lines 56-65, an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to have Wakabayashi's optical system operate use a higher current when moving a lens into or out of a retracted position.

9. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakabayashi in view of Numako and further in view of Yasuda (U.S. Patent No. 6,285,154).

Claim 2 may be treated like claim 1. However, Wakabayashi is silent with regard to driving the motor according to a two-phase excitation method in the first driving mode and a single/two-phase excitation (or micro-step) driving method in the second driving mode.

Yasuda discloses a lens controlling apparatus, as shown in Figure 5. Yasuda employs a variety of combinations of excitation methods for driving lens actuator 9. As

Art Unit: 2612

stated in column 15, lines 41-49, an advantage to using a two-phase excitation is that a lens may be driven to a highly accurate position. Additionally, as stated above, Numako teaches that an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot. It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the two-phase excitation, as described by Yasuda, with the lens driving system disclosed by Wakabayashi, since rapid, accurate removal of a lens from a retracted position helps a photographer obtain a desired shot, as described by Numako.

Additionally, Yasuda teaches that a one-two-phase excitation may be used instead of a two-phase excitation in order to reduce power consumption, since unlike the two-phase excitation method, no current is required to hold the stepping motor at a specific position (column 15, lines 51-61). It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the one-two-phase excitation, as described by Yasuda, with the lens driving system disclosed by Wakabayashi, since battery power can be conserved while the photographer is waiting for a desired shot.

Regarding claim 11, Official Notice is taken that driving a motor in a two-phase or one-two-phase excitation mode at a higher frequency will result in faster motor operation. As stated above, Numako teaches that an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot.

Art Unit: 2612

Additionally, operating the motor at a slower rate during a zoom operation allows a user to select a more accurate degree of zooming.

For these reasons, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to operate a stepping motor at a higher frequency when removing a lens from a retracted position than when a user is performing a zooming operation.

10. Claims 3, 5, 6, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakabayashi in view of Numako and further in view of Roustaei (U.S. Patent No. 6,285,154).

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Wakabayashi discloses a camera with a collapsible optical system. Figures 1 and 2 show an optical system 10 located in lens barrel 1 (column 7, lines 8-9). A first lens group 11 is fixed in tube 15 ("a lens frame"), while a second lens group 12 is fixed in lens compartment 14 ("a lens frame") (column 7, lines 11-16). Figure 1 shows optical system 10 in a fully collapsed state ("a position of stowage"), and Figure 2 shows optical system 10 in a photographic state ("a ready-to-image position") (column 7, lines 4-7). The lenses are movable along the optical axis in order to perform varying degrees of zooming ("a zoom interval") (column 7, lines 50-54).

Drive gear 30 ("a conveying means"), shown in Figure 3, moves lens barrel 1 into and out of the collapsible state (column 8, lines 64-65) by drive motor 52 ("a stepping motor"), which is shown in Figure 6 (column 13, lines 1-2).

As described in column 8, line 64, through column 9, line 65, drive gear 30 causes the mechanics associated with zoom cam tube 23 to extend from the position

Art Unit: 2612

shown in Figure 3 to the position shown in Figure 4 without tube 23 rotating ("a first driving mode"). When zoom cam tube 23 rotates from the position shown in Figure 5, zooming is effected ("a second driving mode"). Lens barrel 1 is moved back into the fully collapsed state by reversing the cited procedure (column 9, line 6, through column 10, line 6).

Wakabayashi does not specifically disclose a control means for controlling the optical system. However, it is inherent that the system has some sort of control system in order to be functional and have utility.

Wakabayashi is silent with regard to the amount of current used to operate the drive motor to expand and retract the lens barrel.

Numako discloses a zoom lens driving apparatus similar to the system described by Wakabayashi. The apparatus, as shown in Figure 1, drives a retractable zoom lens (column 3, lines 23-28). The lens moves at a high speed when moving from a retracted position to an operative position but moves at a slower speed when variable zooming is performed (column 1, lines 37-52). It is inherent that the rotation speed of a motor is controlled by the amount of current applied.

As stated in column 19, lines 56-65, an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to have Wakabayashi's optical system operate use a higher current when moving a lens into or out of a retracted position.

Art Unit: 2612

Wakabayashi is silent with regard to the specifics of the camera used with his optical system.

Roustaei discloses an optical device for capturing images, as shown in Figure 12A. Lens assembly 18, shown in detail in Figure 1, includes slidable lens support 21 (column 14, lines 18-20). The system may have the zoom capability shown in Figure 41 (column 16, lines 40-43). The system may include a variety of image processing elements (column 27, lines 35-38). Processed image data may be stored in memory buffer 88 ("a recording means"), which is shown in Figure 16 (column 29, lines 15-17).

Since Wakabayashi is silent with regard to the specifics of the camera used with his optical system, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use any camera, such as the one described by Roustaei.

Regarding claim 5, Roustaei teaches in column 63, lines 57-59, that power may be removed from a CCD when it is not in use while power continues to be applied to additional components of an imaging system. Since Wakabayashi, as described above, teaches that a lens is not in a valid photo-taking state when it is being moved to or from a collapsed state, it would be advantageous to remove power from the imaging device to which his lens is attached during this time because a reduction in power consumption would occur. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention for the camera to which Wakabayashi's lens is attached to remove power from the imaging device when it is not as in use, as described by Roustaei.

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 6, Numako teaches that his lens moves at a high speed when moving from a retracted position to an operative position but moves at a slower speed when variable zooming is performed (column 1, lines 37-52). It is inherent that the rotation speed of a motor is controlled by the voltage applied.

As stated in column 19, lines 56-65, an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to have Wakabayashi's optical system operate use a higher voltage when moving a lens into or out of a retracted position.

11. Claims 4, 12, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakabayashi in view of Numako and further in view of Roustaei and Yasuda.

Claims 4 and 16 may be treated like claims 3 and 15, respectively. However, Wakabayashi is silent with regard to driving the motor according to a two-phase excitation method in the first driving mode and a single/two-phase excitation (or microstep) driving method in the second driving mode.

Yasuda discloses a lens controlling apparatus, as shown in Figure 5. Yasuda employs a variety of combinations of excitation methods for driving lens actuator 9. As stated in column 15, lines 41-49, an advantage to using a two-phase excitation is that a lens may be driven to a highly accurate position. Additionally, as stated above, Numako

Art Unit: 2612

teaches that an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot. It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the two-phase excitation, as described by Yasuda, with the lens driving system disclosed by Wakabayashi, since rapid, accurate removal of a lens from a retracted position helps a photographer obtain a desired shot, as described by Numako.

Additionally, Yasuda teaches that a one-two-phase excitation may be used instead of a two-phase excitation in order to reduce power consumption, since unlike the two-phase excitation method, no current is required to hold the stepping motor at a specific position (column 15, lines 51-61). It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the one-two-phase excitation, as described by Yasuda, with the lens driving system disclosed by Wakabayashi, since battery power can be conserved while the photographer is waiting for a desired shot.

Regarding claim 12, Official Notice is taken that driving a motor in a two-phase or one-two-phase excitation mode at a higher frequency will result in faster motor operation. As stated above, Numako teaches that an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot.

Additionally, operating the motor at a slower rate during a zoom operation allows a user to select a more accurate degree of zooming.

Art Unit: 2612

For these reasons, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to operate a stepping motor at a higher frequency when removing a lens from a retracted position than when a user is performing a zooming operation.

Claim 17 may be treated like claim 15. However, Wakabayashi is silent with regard to driving the motor according to a two-phase excitation method in the first driving mode and a micro-step driving method in the second driving mode.

Yasuda discloses a lens controlling apparatus, as shown in Figure 5. Yasuda employs a variety of combinations of excitation methods for driving lens actuator 9. As stated in column 15, lines 41-49, an advantage to using a two-phase excitation is that a lens may be driven to a highly accurate position. Additionally, as stated above, Numako teaches that an advantage to using various speeds of motor operation is that a lens can be moved from a retracted position in the shortest time possible, thus preventing a photographer from missing a desired shot. It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the two-phase excitation, as described by Yasuda, with the lens driving system disclosed by Wakabayashi, since rapid, accurate removal of a lens from a retracted position helps a photographer obtain a desired shot, as described by Numako.

Additionally, Yasuda teaches that a micro-step driving method may be used to control a stepping motor for a lens (column 24, lines 48-49). An advantage to controlling a stepping motor by using a micro-step driving method when controlling a zooming operation is that a micro-step driving method allows a stepping motor to be moved in very small steps, thus increasing zooming accuracy. It therefore would have

Art Unit: 2612

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a microstep driving method, as described by Yasuda, with the lens driving system disclosed by Wakabayashi.

Page 13

## Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests controlling a camera lens via a stepping motor using a two-phase excitation method when the power supply is turned off.

#### Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason T. Whipkey, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1819. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 A.M. to 6:30 P.M. eastern daylight time, alternating Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communication and (703) 872-9315 for After Final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to the appropriate number above for communications intended for entry. (For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the sixth floor receptionist of Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive in Arlington, Virginia.

JTW

July 28, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER